Four Cities Coordinated Stevens Creek Trail Feasibility Study

Joint Cities Working Team Summary Meeting Minutes

MEETING LOCATION: City of Cupertino

Community Hall

10350 Torre Avenue, Cupertino, CA

MEETING DATE: July 20, 2015

MEETING TIME: 6:30 p.m.

ATTENDANCE:

Elected Representatives

Mayor Pro Tem Jeannie Bruins, Los Altos Vice Mayor Tara Martin-Milius, Sunnyvale Vice Mayor Pat Showalter, Mountain View Councilmember Darcy Paul, Cupertino

Staff Members

Kent Steffens, Assistant City Manager, Sunnyvale Carla Ochoa, Traffic Engineer, Sunnyvale Gail Seeds, Park Restoration and Improvement Manager, Cupertino

Consultants

Jana Sokale, Principal Planner, Sokale Environmental Planning Bruce Hill, Hill Associates Shawn Spano, PhD, Communications Consultant, Independent Contractor

Audio of meeting, is available upon request. Audio files are too large to post online or to send via email; audio will only be available on CDs.

I. Introductory Remarks - Mayor Pro Tem Jeannie Bruins provided opening remarks. The meeting goal is to develop a set of recommendations that can be taken to the four cities. Recommendations should be shaped by technical feasibility study, public comments, CWG recommendations and the team's own thoughts/insights. Mayor Pro Tem Jeannie Bruins also provided some ground rules for the team and members of the public.

Four Cities Coordinated Stevens Creek Trail Feasibility Study

- II. Summary of comments Staff provided a summary of the comments received. The comments are as follows: 902 total comments on time (199 Sunnyvale, 63 Mountain View, 184 Los Altos, 111 Cupertino, 345 other jurisdictions or not stated) and 44 comments were received after the 6/10/2015, 5:00 p.m. deadline. Staff will re-format late comments to include in the final report. Comments received after 7/20/15 will not be included.
- **III. Review CWG Recommendation** Staff gave an overview of the CWG recommendation and the process the CWG went through to come to a consensus on the recommendation.
- **IV. Discussion of JCWT alignment review chart –** Staff did an overview of the alignment review chart that was sent to the JCWT prior to the meeting. The intent of the review chart is to guide the JCWT in their recommended alignment discussions.
- V. Alignment recommendation discussion The JCWT discussed segments 1, 2, and 4 of the feasibility study. Although there was consensus on segment 1 alignment, a full recommendation was not reached, therefore further discussions are necessary.
- **VI. Future meetings –** The JCWT is available on 7/24/15 at 1:00 p.m. (preferred) or 10:00 a.m. to continue discussion of alignment recommendations. Staff will work to find a facility and confirm the meeting time for 7/24/15
- **VII. Public Comments -** Ten members of the public provided oral comments.
- VIII. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 9:13 p.m.